

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/073,409		02/13/2002	Hideaki Tanaka	111867	3980
25944	7590	05/10/2005		EXAM	INER
OLIFF &		GE, PLC	MACKEY, JAMES P		
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1722	•
				DATE MAILED: 05/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/073,409	TANAKA, HIDEAKI				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	James Mackey n appears on the cover she	eet with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	I wip bear a arrange	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ren. a reply within the statutory minimum eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) statute, cause the application to become	may a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. One MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. One ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on g	01 April 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice und	der Ex parte Quayle, 1935	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-16 and 19-21</u> is/are pending in	the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-11 and 13-16</u> i	s/are withdrawn from con	sideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12 and 19-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requiremen	nt				
. are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requiremen	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 19.	miner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner, Note the aut	ached Office Action of form P10-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority docur						
2. Certified copies of the priority docur		· ·				
	•	been received in this National Stage				
application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	` ''					
Occ inc anadica actained cines action is: s	I list of the continua copies	S HUL IECEIVEU.				
		·				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		view Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	· ·	er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/State Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5,00,					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Offi	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050506				

to

Application/Control Number: 10/073,409 Page 2

Art Unit: 1722

1. Claims 1-11 and 13-16 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 21 November 2003.

2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: the original specification does not provide proper antecedent basis for the slit-like aperture being "arranged adjacent a junction" of ridges as is now claimed in claim 12 (it is noted that original Figure 15 does show the slit-like aperture being arranged adjacent a junction of the ridges; see *In re Wolfensperger*, 133 USPQ 537, 542, holding that amendment of the specification to add statements conforming to originally filed drawings is permissible).

Applicant should avoid introducing new matter in responding to this objection.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 1722

5. Claims 12 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Publication 2001-18235 in view of Heintz, Jr. (U.S. Patent 2,756,460), Japanese Publication 5-138656 and Schmaderer et al. (U.S. Patent 5,066,209), for the reasons of record as described in paragraph 9 of the last Office Action, mailed 04 March 2005.

6. Applicant's arguments filed 01 April 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that "neither Heintz, JP '656 nor Schmaderer recognize the problem to be solved by the invention. Specifically, the tendency of air stagnation in the region of the mold cavity adjacent to the ridges." However, such a problem is recognized in Schmaderer et al. (see col. 1, lines 15-21, and col. 2, lines 21-25). Notwithstanding, the mere fact that the references may fail to evince an appreciation of the problem identified and solved by Applicant is not, standing alone, conclusive evidence of the non-obviousness of the claimed subject matter; the references may suggest doing what an Applicant has done even though workers in the art were ignorant of the existence of the problem. See *In re Gershon et al.*, 152 USPQ 602. Moreover, to select features from the prior art to effect results expected from these features is within the purview of 35 USC 103; see *In re Skoner*, 186 USPQ 80.

In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the

Application/Control Number: 10/073,409

Art Unit: 1722

applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Mackey whose telephone number is 571-272-1135. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ben Utech can be reached on 571-272-1137. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1722

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James Mackey

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1722

5/6/05

jpm

May 6, 2005